

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1440

P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/958,088	10/27/1997	JOHN S. HENDRICKS	5062	2949
7590 02/11/2004			EXAMINER	
ANDREWS & KURTH L.L.P.			KOENIG, ANDREW Y	
1701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2611	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/958,088	HENDRICKS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Y Koenig	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuenty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2. In no event, however, may a reply be tile 2. In no event, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 2. It is no event.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	
Status			
1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ Th 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. rance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 28-73 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-30,32-56 and 60 s) Claim(s) 31,47-49 and 67-79 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 57-59, 65, and 66 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	<u>0-64</u> is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the second sec	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicate fority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D		

Application/Control Number: 08/958,088

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 57-59, 65, and 66 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The applicant argues that the terms "managing" and "monitoring" are the same; the examiner disagrees.

Monitoring as defined by Webster's Dictionary (shown in exhibit A) and as argued by the applicant as, "to watch, keep track of, or check" is a passive operation wherein the object being "monitored" is not affected in any way by the monitor.

Managing as defined by Webster's Dictionary (shown in exhibit A) and as argued by the applicant as "to exercise executive, administrative, and supervisory direction of..." is an active operation wherein the device managing has some form of power to control over the device being managed. Accordingly, managing requires more than simply watching, keeping track of, or checking in that it necessitates some form of direction giving.

Further, the examiner notes that a system that manages most likely monitors (given a feedback system), but a system that monitors does not necessarily manage. Accordingly, the terms monitoring and managing are not the same as the applicant argues.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31, 47-49, and 67-79 are allowed.

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 57-59 and 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding independent claim 57, claim 57 recites the limitation of "a serializer, wherein the serializer combines the selected programs or channels into a signal for transmission according to instructions sent by the CPU." There is no disclosure that would enable one, given the current configuration to send instructions from a CPU to the serializer. As shown in figure 9a, there is no connection from the control CPU 90 to permit one to send instructions to the serializer. The serializer appears to receive data from the output gates 158, which receive instructions from FIFO control 154. Therefore, the serializer does not combine according to instructions sent by the CPU, in that it merely processes the received data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 08/958,088

Art Unit: 2611

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 57-59 and 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,400,401 to Wasilewski et al. (Wasilewski).

Regarding claim 57, Wasilewski teaches a system for distributing services to a plurality of remote locations, such as the configuration as shown in figure 17. The demultiplexers of figure 17 may be identical to those of the service demultiplexer 298 as shown in figure 16 (col. 22, II. 7-20). Accordingly, Wasilewski teaches a control processor (claimed CPU), figure 16, label 338, contained within the demultiplexer 298 monitors the service selection, manages and sends instructions to enable the demultiplexer receive individual program outputs (fig 17), see col. 20, II. 26-32, col. 20-21, II. 58-6. Further, Wasilewski teaches a demultiplexer (fig. 16, label 298), which equates to a digital logic component selecting the desired digital program according the instructions sent from the processor 338 and outputs the program as shown in figure 17. Wasilewski teaches a service multiplexer (fig. 17, label 406), which reads on a serializer. As discussed above, the control processor (338) of the demultiplexer clearly manages and monitors the demultiplexer.

Regarding claim 58, Wasilewski teaches a demultiplexer (298) for separated a multiplexed signal into individual programs (col. 20, II. 33-36, col. 21, II. 18-37, fig. 17).

Regarding claim 59, Wasilewski teaches inserting local programming (408) into the multiplexer (claimed serializer) (col. 22, II. 27-30).

Page 5

Regarding claim 65, Wasilewski teaches a receiver (402) and a modulator that modulates the combined signal for transmission (col. 22, II. 30-39).

Regarding claim 66, Wasilewski teaches control signals sent from the control processor (fig. 16, 338, col. 20, II. 26-32, col. 20-21, II. 58-6).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Y Koenig whose telephone number is (703) 306-0399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:30 - 6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (703) 305-4380. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ayk

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER